



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,160 01/26/2004		Keiko Hayashi	Q79567	6750		
23373	7590	06/28/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRU	•		WANG, JIN CHENG			
SUITE 800		NIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				2672		
				DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be evaluated used the provisions of 3° CFR 1.36(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be evaluated used the provisions of 3° CFR 1.36(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of bitiny (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of the set or extended period for reply within the set of the reply and the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of the period for reply within the set or period for reply be for the period for reply and the set or for the period for reply and the set or for reply and the set or for the period for reply and the set or		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Jin-Cheng Wang   Jin-	Office Action Comments	10/763,160	HAYASHI ET AL.						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensive of time may be evaluate under the protection of 3 CPR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensive of time may be evaluate under the protection of 3 CPR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensive of time may be evaluated under the protection of 3 CPR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensive of the period for reply specified above. Be maximum catatory period will pay and will explicit SK() MONTH's from the realizing date of this communication.  Filed period for reply specified above. Be maximum catatory period will specify and will reply stoke (MONTH's from the realizing date of this communication.  A prior provided by the Office later than the realizing date of this communication, even if timely find, may refuse any seamed patents and patents and patents and patents.  The Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Examination of time may be available under the approximen of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - Examination of time may be available under the approximen of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - Examination of timely approximent of the proximen of the proximen of the proximen of thing (38) says will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statetory priored will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failur to a reply specified above, the maximum statetory priored will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failur to a reply specified above, the maximum statetory priored will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failur to a reply specified above, the maximum statetory priored will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failur to a reply specified above, the maximum statetory priored will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failur to a reply specified above, the maximum statetory priored will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Status  - This action is FINAL.  - 2b) This action is non-final.  - 3l) This action is FINAL.  - 2b) This action is non-final.  - 3l) This action is priored to a status of the priority action and the priority and and the priority flower.  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is interested and the priority and the priority and the priority and the priority under status and the priority documents have been received in Application No.  Application Papers  - 9l) The priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  - 12l) All b) Some * c) None of:  - 12l) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Ap									
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be validate under the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(a)c). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the second of the communication of the communi	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>								
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 9   Claim(s)  is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All b	Status								
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 9   Claim(s)  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b  Some c  None of: 1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e) 1   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO-1448 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Toratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a  accepted or b  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Internation Palenth Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to estriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Orattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Olimicary Cited (PTO-144) or PTO/SB/08)  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Application Papers  9	<u> </u>	·							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonic None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)									
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		5) Notice of Informal P							

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The claim 9 recites a method claim. The claim only recites a computer program comprising a series of steps. The claim 9 has not recited a step of executing the program, which may just be stored on computer or written on a piece of paper without being executed. Therefore, the program does not render a useful and tangible result.

According to MPEP 2106, The claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. The purpose of this requirement is to limit patent protection to inventions that possess a certain level of "real world" value, as opposed to subject matter that represents nothing more than an idea or concept, or is simply a starting point for future investigation or research (Brenner v. Manson, 383 U.S. 519, 528-36, 148 USPQ 689, 693-96); In re Ziegler, 992, F.2d 1197, 1200-03, 26 USPQ2d 1600, 1603-06 (Fed. Cir. 1993)). Accordingly, a complete disclosure should contain some indication of the practical application for the claimed invention, i.e., why the applicant believes the claimed invention is useful.

Given the absence of any practical effect or significant independent physical acts, the applicants' claim fails to adequately define the claimed invention within the domain of patentable subject matter. Claims 10-12 depend upon the claim 9 and are rejected due to their dependency on the claim 9.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jannssen et al. US Patent No. 6,512,529 (hereinafter Jannssen) in view of DeStefano U.S. Patent No. 6,304,259 (hereinafter DeStefano) and Berman et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,448,956 (hereinafter Berman).

#### Claim 1:

Jannssen discloses a method of an information processing apparatus comprising a first step for partitioning the screen of one display apparatus into a main display window and a plurality of contracted display windows (See Figs. 2-4), each window presenting single piece of screen information, in a manner such that the windows do not overlap each other (to concurrently view all the important information displayed in various windows, e.g., in air traffic control, the focus of the radar operator is on the main situation display window where the operator is tracking the movement of aircraft through the radar plots displayed in the window. The operator also needs to regularly be able to view additional information about the aircraft, weather conditions as displayed in other windows. The method allows the operator to perform his task while at the same time not obscuring other windows which are essential to perform the task; column 1, lines 38-67 and column 2, lines 1-50; in column 3, lines 11-30, the cited reference discloses the contents of the window are exposed when the cursor moves into the area

of the window when in "normal" mode and the contents of the window are exposed in the manner described above for a specified period of time in "timed" mode) and a fourth step for presenting screen information on third contracted display windows other than the first and second contracted display windows (the cited reference discloses displaying information on a plurality of windows so that all the important information displayed in various windows can be concurrently viewed; see column 1-3 and Figs. 3-4).

However, Jannssen failed to explicitly disclose the claim limitation of "a second step for presenting the same screen information on both a first contracted display window and the main display window."

DeStefano discloses the claim limitation of a second step for presenting the same screen information on both a first contracted display window and the main display window (See DeStefano Fig. 23).

It would have been obvious to have incorporated DeStefano's system to relate the same information for the plurality of different windows in the multiple windowing apparatus of Jannssen because Jannssen suggests providing multiple screen surfaces to provide enough space to display all of the required data and one window may contain a geographic view of the airspace in which aircraft are plotted on the display according to *their current position* based on radar reports and another window may have a dynamically changing table summarizing details about each aircraft including information such as current speed and *altitude*, Jannssen column 1-3) and therefore suggesting the claim limitation of displaying the same altitude information about an aircraft on the multiple windows.

One of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the information presented in different windows can be related by the lens of DeStefano (column 17, lines 40-45) and the same information can be displayed in different windows (Jannssen column 1-3).

However, Jannssen and DeStefano failed to explicitly disclose the claim limitation of "a third step for presenting the same screen information on both a second contracted display window and another display apparatus; and a fifth step for presenting screen information, presented on one of the third contracted display windows, on both the second contracted display window and the other display apparatus in response to an operation to the information processing apparatus."

Berman discloses the claim limitation of a second step for presenting the same screen information on both a first contracted display window and the main display window (by the drag and drop operation in which the image in the main image display window to be interchanged with the image in the contracted display window; column 8, lines 39-56); a third step for presenting the same screen information on both a second contracted display window and another display apparatus (rearrange the images on the canvas of the monitors by the drag and drop operation; column 8, lines 39-56); a fourth step for presenting screen information on third contracted display windows other than the first and second contracted display windows (using the drag and drop function to drag display information for one window to another window on the same display or on a different display; see Berman column 10, lines 39-67 and column 1-25 and column 15-17); and a fifth step for presenting screen information, presented on one of the third contracted display windows, on both the second contracted display window and the other

display apparatus in response to an operation to the information processing apparatus (using the drag and drop function to drag display information for one window to another window on the same display or on a different display; see Berman column 10, lines 39-67 and column 1-25; column 15-17).

It would have been obvious to have incorporated Berman's image manipulation system to drag and drop image information from one window to another into the multiple windowing apparatus of Jannssen and DeStefano because Jannssen suggests providing multiple screen surfaces to provide enough space to display all of the required data; Jannssen column 1-3 and a GUI interface allowing the operator to manage the windows on the display for manipulating the data displayed on the windows (Jannssen column 1-3) and DeStefano concurrently displaying information on separate windows and linking the information displayed on separate windows in which cutting, copying and pasting operations are supported by the authoring tool 90 (DeStefano column 42, lines 59-67 column 43, lines 1-16) and therefore suggesting the claim limitation of copying information from one window to another window.

One of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to improve safety critical applications since it permits the operator to maintain maximum awareness of the main safety critical situation window, while still providing immediate access to the other information necessary for the operator to perform his task (Jannssen column 2, lines 40-50).

One of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the information presented in different windows can be related by the lens of DeStefano (column 17, lines 40-45) and the same information can be copied from one window and pasted to another window (DeStefano column 42, lines 59-67column 43, lines 1-16).

### Claims 2-4:

The claim 2 (3, 4) encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 1 except additional claim limitation that the screen information presented on the one of the third contracted display windows is dragged and dropped to the second contracted display window. However, Berman further discloses the claim limitation that the screen information presented on the one of the third contracted display windows is dragged and dropped to the second contracted display window (using the drag and drop function to drag display information for one window to another window on the same display or on a different display; see Berman column 10, lines 39-67 and column 1-25; column 15-17).

### Claims 5-8:

The claims 5-8 are subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claims 1-4.

Claims 9-12:

The claims 9-12 are subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claims 1-4.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jin-Cheng Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7665.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 6:30 (Mon-Thu).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (571) 272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,160

Art Unit: 2672

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jcw

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600